

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	PINCT VALUED DAVID TO SE		
10/00/			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Kozo Makiyama	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,924		03/01/2002		020212	7143
23850	7590	01/02/2003			
ARMSTRO	NG.WE	STERMAN & HA			
1/25 K STR	EET, NW		EXAMINER		
SUITE 1000			GREENE, PERSHELLE L		
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20006			_
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2826	
				DATE MAILED: 01/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summan	10/084,924	MAKIYAMA ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
The MAII ING DATE of this com-	Pershelle Greene	ľ						
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing status Status Status	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S	S) FROM ely filed						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 N</u>	10							
3) Since this application is in condition for all	is action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Disposition of Claims Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 and 6-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 5</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or a Application Papers	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 3/1/2002 is/are: 2)☐								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 3/1/2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 3	37 CFR 1.85(a).						
is sometimed off	(a) Langroyed b) [] diagram	by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	iner.							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pr a) All b) Some * c) None of:	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)) or (f).						
Certified copies of the priority documents have Certified copies of the priority documents have	ave been received.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	the priority documents have been received in April 11							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the contified again.								
The state of a claim for domestic priority under 25 H C C 2 4444								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary (PTO- 5) Notice of Informal Patent A 6) Other:	413) Paper No(s) Application (PTO-152)						
S. Patent and Trademark Office								

U.S. Paten PTO-32

Application/Control Number: 10/084,924

Art Unit: 2826

Serial Number: 10/084924 Attorney's Docket #: 020212

Filing Date: 03/01/2002

Applicant: Makiyama et al. Examiner: Pershelle Greene

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Species I, figures 1A-2D in Paper No. 6 is 1. acknowledged.

Claims 11-13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as 2. being drawn to a nonelected method claims, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 4.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every 3. feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the tapered stem with the first and second insulating layer must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/084,924 Page 3

Art Unit: 2826

4. Which drawing shows the final structure being claimed? Which drawing shows the combination of claims 1 and 2? It is unclear which drawing should be referenced when examining the elected claims?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 are being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear how the structure in claim 1 relates to the structure in claim 2. Where is the combination of claims 1 and 2 shown? Where is the combination of claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 shown? It is unclear how the device has a mushroom gate electrode with a tapered stem and a first and second insulating layer wherein the second insulating layer is made of titanium oxide. It is unclear how the second insulating layer of claims 4 and 5 relate to the structure of claim 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,924 Page 4

Art Unit: 2826

8. Claims 1 and 2 are being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sasaki et al. U.S. Patent # 6,180,528).

As to claim 1, Sasaki et al. disclose a method for forming a minute resist pattern and method for forming a gate electrode having all of the claimed subject matter:

- A. "a semiconductor substrate ... current flows" is met by the substrate 1 shown in figure 3E;
- B. "a first insulating layer ... gate electrode opening" is met by the insulating layer 13 shown in figure 3E;
- C. "a mushroom gate electrode ... the current direction" is met by the mushroom gate electrode 8 formed on the substrate 1 via the gate electrode opening shown in figure 3E. the mushroom gate electrode structure has a stem and a head formed on the stem. The stem has a forward tapered shape.

As to claim 2, Sasaki et al. shows, in figure 3E, the stem riding on the insulating film having a forward taper shape generally symmetric relative to the current direction.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 9. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim4 is being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki et al. 10. U.S. Patent # 6,180,528), in view of Tokue (JP 402142143 A).

Application/Control Number: 10/084,924

Art Unit: 2826

Page 5

Claim 4 is being rejected for the same reasons as set forth above in regard to claim 1. In

addition Tokue shows, in figure 3, a second insulating layer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the structure of

Sasaki with the second insulating layer of Tokue for the purpose of reducing the parasitic

capacitance and improving microwave characteristics and reliability.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Pershelle Greene whose telephone number is 703-305-3870. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on 703-308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular

communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

PLG

December 27, 2002